

The Schwarzschild-de Sitter solution in five-dimensional general relativity briefly revisited

J. B. Fonseca-Neto and C. Romero

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba,
C.Postal 5008, 58051-970 João Pessoa, Pb, Brazil
E-mail: cromero@fisica.ufpb.br

February 7, 2008

Abstract

We briefly revisit the Schwarzschild-de Sitter solution in the context of five-dimensional general relativity. We obtain a class of five-dimensional solutions of Einstein vacuum field equations into which the four-dimensional Schwarzschild-de Sitter space can be locally and isometrically embedded. We show that this class of solutions is well-behaved in the limit of $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$. Applying the same procedure to the de Sitter cosmological model in five dimensions we obtain a class of embedding spaces which are similarly well-behaved in this limit. These examples demonstrate that the presence of a non-zero cosmological constant does not in general impose a rigid relation between the $(3+1)$ and $(4+1)$ -dimensional spacetimes, with degenerate limiting behaviour.

1 Introduction

The accumulation of recent observations has led to the so-called ‘standard’ cosmological model according to which the universe is presently dominated by a ‘dark energy’, a favourite candidate for which is the cosmological constant. This has provided an urgent motivation to understand the nature of such a constant. In addition to having important consequences for the cosmological dynamics, such a constant would also in principle have consequences for local dynamics. The well-known $(3+1)$ -dimensional Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime, discovered by de Sitter in 1917 in the cosmological context, describes the gravitational field of a spherically symmetric body in presence of a cosmological constant Λ . However, the influence of a small non-zero cosmological constant on the usual solar system tests based on the standard Schwarzschild metric, though in principle detectable, has been shown to be very small [1]. Over the recent years increasing emphasis has been placed on the possibility that the universe may in fact be higher-dimensional than the usual 4-dimensional (4-D) Einsteinian one. In the eighties, following the revival of Kaluza-Klein theory, some authors

began investigating the one-body problem in the context of five-dimensional $(4+1)$ general relativity [2]. An interesting extension of the $(3+1)$ -dimensional Schwarzschild-de Sitter solution to five dimensions was then carried out by Wesson and coworkers [3]. In their analysis of the one-body problem they addressed the question of how an extra dimension would affect the classical tests of general relativity such as the perihelion advance of Mercury, the gravitational redshift effect and the deflection of light rays by the Sun. By comparing the geodesic motions in the corresponding $(4+1)$ and $(3+1)$ -dimensional spacetimes they concluded that as far as the classical tests of general relativity are concerned there is no way to distinguish between the 5-D and 4-D descriptions [4]. This conclusion, however, depends on the assumption that the metric of the 5-D embedding space has a certain (canonical) specific form, which allows the 5-D and 4-D geodesics to coincide. We shall return to this question below.

The solution due to Wesson and co-workers mentioned above corresponds to a class of 5-D Ricci-flat spaces $\{M_\Lambda^5\}$, where each M_Λ^5 is foliated by a family of four-dimensional hypersurfaces $\{\Sigma_\Lambda^4\}$ which are locally and isometrically embedded in M_Λ^5 . It has been shown that $(3+1)$ -dimensional Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime M_Λ^4 belongs to the class $\{\Sigma_\Lambda^4\}$ [5]. This is in accordance with the Campbell-Magaard theorem which asserts that any analytic n -dimensional Riemannian (pseudo-Riemannian) manifold can be locally embedded in an $(n+1)$ -dimensional Ricci-flat Riemannian (pseudo-Riemannian) manifold [6, 7, 8, 9].

As was pointed out in Ref. [4] the metric $g_{ab}(\Lambda)$ of the embedding space M_Λ^5 is degenerate in the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$. Taken at face value, and assuming that this degeneracy is generic, this might be taken to imply that the presence of non-zero cosmological constant in $(3+1)$ -dimensional spacetime may be tightly related to its presence in the embedding $(4+1)$ -dimensional spacetime. This raises the interesting question of whether there exist different classes of $(4+1)$ -dimensional Ricci-flat spaces $\{\overline{M}_\Lambda^5\}$ with metrics $\overline{g}_{ab}(\Lambda)$ in which one can locally and isometrically embed the Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime M_Λ^4 , with $\overline{g}_{ab}(\Lambda)$ possessing a well-defined nonvanishing limit as $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$. The main purpose of this paper is to give an affirmative answer to this question and to exhibit the desired embedding. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly review the one-body solution in $(4+1)$ -dimensions found by Wesson and collaborators. We then proceed in Section 3 to give a new class of Ricci-flat spacetimes which includes the latter solution as a particular case while remaining well-behaved in the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$. Finally, in Section 4, we discuss the embedding of the cosmological de Sitter model into a family of five-dimensional flat spaces that are also well-behaved with respect to the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$.

2 The extension of Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime to five dimensions

The Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime corresponds to a 4-D manifold with the spherically-symmetric line element

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (1)$$

where Λ is the cosmological constant, $m = \frac{MG}{c^2}$ and M is the mass of the body. This is an exterior solution of the Einstein field equations with cosmological constant¹

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R - \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (2)$$

In the Newtonian limit of general relativity timelike geodesics of the metric (1) describes motion under a central potential

$$V(r) = -\frac{m}{r} - \frac{1}{6}\Lambda r^2,$$

with the Λ term corresponding to a repulsive central force of magnitude $\frac{1}{3}\Lambda r$. According to Birkhoff's theorem (1) is the unique static solution of the Einstein field equations (2) [10].

Let us now consider the five-dimensional, static, (3-D) spherically-symmetric metric written in coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ, l)

$$dS^2 = \frac{\Lambda l^2}{3} \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}\right] dt^2 - \left[1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}\right]^{-1} dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \right\} - dl^2, \quad (3)$$

which is a solution of the 5-D Einstein vacuum field equations

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R - \Lambda g_{ab} = 0.$$

We note that this is not the only possible solution for the static (3-D) spherically-symmetric one-body problem in the presence of a cosmological constant as Birkhoff's theorem is not valid in 5-D [4].

Now it is clear from the form of (3) that $l = \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}}$ defines two hypersurfaces which are isometric to the spacetime (1). It turns out, however, that when we take the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ in (3) the 4-D part of the metric vanishes. As was pointed out above this may be interpreted as indicating that the existence of a non-zero cosmological constant in (3+1) may impose a rigid relation between the (3+1) and (4+1)-dimensional spacetimes, in turn leading to the point of view that a cosmological constant should necessarily exist [4]). On the other hand it is not

¹Throughout this work latin indices $(a, b, \dots)$ run from 0 to 4, while greek indices $(\alpha, \beta, \dots)$ run from 0 to 3.

clear a priori why taking the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ in 5-D should necessarily result in such degeneracy. In this connection it is important to recall that, contrary to the above difficulty in 5-D, taking the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ in metric (1) results in the Schwarzschild metric, which is free of such a degeneracy. Therefore, it would be interesting if we could find a new embedding for the static 3-D spherically-symmetrical one-body problem which did not suffer from the above difficulty and for which the well-defined limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ could be preserved by the process of embedding.

3 A new embedding for the one-body metric in five dimensions

In Ref. [4]) a metric is referred to as *canonical* if it has the form

$$dS^2 = \left(\frac{l^2}{L^2} \right) g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta - dl^2, \quad (4)$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta}$ does not depend on the extra coordinate l and L is a constant. The solution (3) has this form if we put $L^2 = \frac{3}{\Lambda}$. As it happens any metric of this form breaks down when we take the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ (which is equivalent to taking $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$). Therefore we should look for a vacuum solution in 5-D that has not the canonical form in the above sense. It turns out that such a metric can be found and is given by

$$dS^2 = l^2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda w}{3}} + \frac{B}{l} \right)^2 \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} \right] dt^2 - \left[1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} \right]^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right\} - w dl^2, \quad (5)$$

where B and w are real constants. The solutions above describe a Ricci-flat space and are defined for positive or negative values of Λ . If Λ is positive, then we take $w > 0$. If Λ is negative, we chose $w < 0$, and in this case the fifth dimension is timelike. For nonzero Λ it is easy to verify that for each chosen values of w and B , the hypersurfaces defined by

$$l = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{w\Lambda}} (B \pm 1),$$

correspond to the Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime (1)

Now taking the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ the metric (5) becomes

$$dS^2 = B^2 \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right] dt^2 - \left[1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right]^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right\} - w dl^2, \quad (6)$$

which can be verified to be Ricci-flat. Let us denote this 5-D space by M_B^5 . For the particular values $B = \pm 1$ and $w = 1$ the equation $l = \text{constant}$ defines a

trivial embedding of the 4-D Schwarzschild spacetime into M_0^5 [7]. Thus, the metric (5) provides a new embedding of the 4-D Schwarzschild spacetime which is non-degenerate as $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$.

4 Final remarks

We recall that similar degeneracies occur, in the limit of $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$, when we consider the embedding of the de Sitter 4-D cosmological solution

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - e^{2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda w}{3}}t}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \quad (7)$$

into the 5-D space [7, 4, 11]

$$dS^2 = \frac{\Lambda}{3}l^2 \left[dt^2 - e^{2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda w}{3}}t}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \right] - dl^2. \quad (8)$$

Again it is possible to find a different 5-D Ricci-flat embedding spacetime whose metric is not degenerate as $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, consider the following 5-D line element

$$dS^2 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda w}{3}}l + \frac{1}{2}B \right)^2 \left\{ dt^2 - e^{2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda w}{3}}t}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \right\} - wdl^2, \quad (9)$$

where, as before, B and w are constants². For nonzero Λ one can easily verify that on the hypersurfaces defined by

$$l = \sqrt{\frac{3}{w\Lambda}} \left(-\frac{B}{2} \pm 1 \right),$$

the metric (9) induces the metric (7). Now taking the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$dS^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}B \right)^2 \{ dt^2 - (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \} - wdl^2,$$

which, depending upon whether $w = 1$ or $w = -1$, represents the 5-D Minkowski spacetime M_0^5 or a pseudo-Euclidean space L^5 with two timelike dimensions. And again as we take the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ the relationship between the two manifolds is preserved in both the embedding and the embedded manifolds. Thus taking the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ (7) reduces to the 4-D Minkowski spacetime M_0^4 , whereas in 5-D (9) leads to M_0^5 or L^5 . Also clearly M_0^4 is embeddable both into M_0^5 or L^5 , with the embeddings corresponding to $l = \text{constant}$.

We should mention that in both cases taking $B = 0$ and $w = 1$ in (5) and (9) we recover the classes of embedding spaces defined in (3) and (8) respectively. Regarding these spacetimes, we have found that applying the coordinate transformation

²In fact both solutions (8) and (9) correspond to 5-D flat spaces, i.e. they have vanishing Riemann tensors.

$$\psi = \sqrt{w}l + B\sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}}, \quad (10)$$

transforms (4) into the form (5). We note, however, that in (10) the sign of w must be positive. When both Λ and w are negative, the coordinate transformation (10) becomes complex and in this case the extra coordinate l is timelike and the two metrics (4) and (5) are no longer equivalent.

Also for the metric (4) the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ is degenerate whereas in (5) we have a well-defined limit. This raises the interesting question of how a mere coordinate transformation, as in the case of (10), can so radically change the limit of a metric. This is, in fact, a subtle and still open question and was first discussed by Geroch [12], who called attention to the fact that limits of spacetimes may depend on the coordinate system used to perform the calculations. In particular he showed that as the mass tends to zero the Schwarzschild solution may have as its limits the Minkowski spacetime or Kasner spacetime. In our present situation a simple translation in the extra coordinate is sufficient to obtain a well behaved limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Finally, in view of the results reported here, it would be interesting to check whether the classical tests of general relativity (in both the Schwarzschild-de Sitter and the cosmological case) can indeed distinguish between the conventional 4-D and the 5-D approaches if larger classes of embedding spaces are allowed that go beyond the canonical form (4), as in the cases of (5) and (9) above.

5 Acknowledgement

We would like to thank R. Tavakol and P. S. Wesson for helpful discussions. C. Romero thanks CNPq-FAPESQ (PRONEX) for financial support.

References

- [1] V. Kagramanova, J. Kunz and C. Lämmerzahl, Phys. Lett. B **632**, 465 (2006)
- [2] R. Sorkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 87 (1983). D. J. Gross and M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. B **311**, 739 (1983); D. Davidson and D. Owen, Phys. Lett. B **155**, 247 (1985).
- [3] P. S. Wesson, *Space-Time-Matter* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1999), pp 177-179.
- [4] P. S. Wesson, B. Mashhoon and H. Liu, Mod.Phys.Lett. A **12**, 2309 (1997).
- [5] It is worth mentioning that as early as 1921 it was found by Kasner that any non-flat vacuum solution of Einstein equations cannot be isometrically

- embedded into five-dimensional flat spaces. See E. Kasner, *Am. J. Math.* **43**, 126 (1921).
- [6] J. E. Campbell, *A Course of Differential Geometry* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1926). L. Magaard, *Zur Einbettung Riemannscher Räume in Einstein-Räume und konform-euklidische Räume* (PhD thesis, University of Kiel, 1963).
 - [7] C. Romero, R. Tavakol and R. Zalaletdinov, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **28**, 365 (1996).
 - [8] S. S. Seahra and P. S. Wesson, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **20**, 1321 (2003).
 - [9] F. Dahia and C. Romero, *J.Math.Phys.* **43**, 5804 (2002).
 - [10] W. Rindler, *Essential Relativity* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977).
 - [11] J. Ponce de Leon, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **20**, 539 (1988).
 - [12] R. Geroch, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **13**, 180 (1969).